

rejections will be addressed in the subsections that follow and the Applicants respectfully submit that the remarks obviate the grounds of rejection.

The Claims are Patentable Over the Prior Art

In regards to claims 1, 12, 13, 14, and 21, although the mobile station of the present invention can camp on two different over the air networks, it can only camp on one network at a time, and therefore can only send and receive signals on the one network on which it is presently camped. As a result, when a request to communicate on the network to which the mobile station is not camped is received, the message to alert the mobile station to the existence of this request must be sent on the network to which the mobile station is presently camped. To perform this operation the present invention uses the stored address of the mobile station on the network on which it is presently camped to send an alert message indicating that a connection is requested on the other network.

Gillig discloses a mobile telephone that can connect to two different over the air networks, however it can send and receive signals on both networks simultaneously, thus making it unnecessary to use stored addresses to send alert messages on another network. In Gillig, the alert signal for an incoming connection is sent over the network on which that connection is desired and the telephone processes "both calls simultaneously" (see col. 6 line 58 - col 7 line 15). Gillig further indicates that the telephone is on both networks simultaneously through the availability of conference calling between the cellular and cordless channels. Gillig does not use stored addresses to send alert messages.

Due to the shortcomings of Gillig, the Applicants respectfully disagree that it anticipates the present invention.

As for dependent claims 2 to 11, 15, and 16, since their respective independent claims are patentable for the reasons enumerated above, these dependent claims are patentable as well.

In view of the arguments submitted above reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103 is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

The remaining claims distinguish the invention from the prior art. Thus, issuance of a

Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (202) 220-4200 to discuss the application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 28, 1999



Frank Pietrantonio
(Reg. No. 32,289)

KENYON & KENYON
1500 K Street, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: (202) 220-4200
Facsimile: (202) 220-4201
186390